# heoretical Contribution to a New Upper Bound

Electron Electric Dipole Momen

#### **Timo Fleig**

Laboratoire de Chimie et de Physique Quantiques Université Paul Sabatier Toulouse III France

#### February 14, 2014

Université Paul Sabatier

Laboratoire de Chimie et Physique Quantiques

### **Electric Dipole Moment of Paramagnetic Atoms/Molecules**

**Possible sources**<sup>1</sup>



- 1. Intrinsic EDM of an electron
- 2. ( $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ ) violating electron-nucleon interaction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>M. Pospelov, A. Ritz, "Electric dipole moments as probes of new physics", Ann. Phys. **318** (2005) 119

#### **Testing Extensions to the Standard Model:**

The Electron Electric Dipole Moment (eEDM)  $\vec{D}$ 



Implies violation of  $Parity(\mathcal{P})$  and  $Motion-Reversal(\mathcal{T})$  symmetries<sup>2</sup>

The  $\mathcal{CPT}$  theorem assumed to be valid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>T.D. Lee, C.N. Yang, *BNL* **443** (1957) *T91* 

### Search for the Electron EDM

 $d_e$  from an atomic/molecular many-body problem

- Unpaired  $e^-$  in a stationary atomic/molecular state
- Measurement of an EDM dependent energy difference (transition energy)  $\Delta \epsilon_t$  of atomic/molecular quantum states.
- Theory determination of an **enhancement**<sup>3</sup>

$$d_e = rac{\Delta \epsilon_t}{E_{ ext{eff}}} egin{array}{c} (\mathsf{Experiment}) \ (\mathsf{Theory}) \end{array}$$

• Enhancement factor R "translates" between atomic and particle scales and is related to the **effective electric field** at the position of the electron,

#### $R \propto E_{\rm eff}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>P.G.H. Sandars, *J Phys B: At Mol Opt Phys* **1** (1968) *499* 

#### Search for the Electron EDM

**Atomic/molecular enhancement** 

• In the **non-relativistic limit** the EDM expectation value vanishes:

(Schiff's Theorem<sup>4</sup>)

 Relativistic view leads to a non-zero value, essentially due to length contraction in the observer frame<sup>5</sup>

 $\left\langle \hat{H}_{\rm EDM} \right\rangle = 0$ 

• Scaling with nuclear charge Z, for alkali atoms<sup>6</sup>

 $R\propto Z^3\,\alpha^2$ 

• Heavy atoms required. Typical values in practice:

Z > 50

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>L.I. Schiff, *Phys Rev* **132** (1963) *2194* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>E.D. Commins, J.D. Jackson, D.P. DeMille, Am J Phys **75** (2007) 532

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>P.G.H. Sandars, *Phys Lett* **14** (1965) *194* 

#### The eEDM in a molecular framework

#### **Perturbative EDM operator**

 $\mathcal{P}$ - and  $\mathcal{T}$ -odd eEDM Hamiltonian<sup>7</sup>:

$$\hat{H}_{\rm EDM} = -\frac{d_e}{4} \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \left(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu\right) F_{\mu\nu}$$

which comprises an electric and a "motional" part

$$\hat{H}_{\rm EDM} = -d_e \gamma^0 \left[ \mathbf{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \imath \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{B} \right]$$

Magnetic contribution does not enter to leading order<sup>8</sup> Electric field contributions

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathsf{int}} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathsf{ext}}$$

with an internal nuclear and electronic contribution

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{int}}(i) = \sum_{A=1}^{N} \frac{Ze \ (\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_A})}{||\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_A}||^3} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{e \ (\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j})}{||\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}||^3}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>E. Salpeter, *Phys Rev* **112** (1958) *1642* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>E. Lindroth, E. Lynn, P.G.H. Sandars, J Phys B: At Mol Opt Phys 22 (1989) 559

#### The eEDM in a molecular framework

**Effective EDM many-body operator** 

Theoretical framework is relativistic quantum mechanics, no QED contributions

Exact reformulation for a single-particle expectation value<sup>9</sup>  $\left\langle -d_e\gamma^0\mathbf{\Sigma}\cdot\mathbf{E}\right\rangle_{\psi^{(0)}} = \frac{2\imath c d_e}{e\hbar} \left\langle \gamma^0\gamma^5\vec{p}\,^2\right\rangle_{\psi^{(0)}}$ 

Approximate effective expectation value in many-body system  $-d_e \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma^0(j) \, \mathbf{\Sigma}(j) \cdot \mathbf{E}(j) \right\rangle_{\psi^{(0)}} \approx \frac{2\imath c d_e}{e\hbar} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma^0(j) \gamma^5(j) \, \vec{p}(j)^2 \right\rangle_{\psi^{(0)}}$ 

 $\psi^{(0)}$  here is the atomic/molecular electronic wavefunction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>E. Commins, *Adv At Mol Opt Phys* **40** (1999) *1* 

#### Correlated Wavefunction Theory for ${\sf E}_{\rm eff}$

- Dirac-Coulomb Hamiltonian operator  $\hat{H}^{DC} = \sum_{A} \sum_{i} \left[ c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p})_i + \beta_i m_0 c^2 + V_{iA} \right] + \sum_{i,j>i} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \mathbb{1}_4 + \sum_{A,B>A} V_{AB}$
- All-electron Dirac-Coulomb Hartree-Fock (DCHF) calculation set of time-reversal paired 4-spinors  $\hat{K}\varphi_i = \varphi_{\bar{i}}$  and  $\hat{K}\varphi_{\bar{i}} = -\varphi_i$
- Expansion and variation<sup>10</sup> in *n*-electron sector of Fock space  $|\psi_k\rangle = \sum_{I=1}^{\dim \mathcal{F}^t(M,n)} c_{kI} \left| (S\overline{\mathcal{T}})_I \right\rangle$

Expectation values over relativistic Configuration Interaction wavefunctions<sup>11</sup>  $\left\langle \hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} \right\rangle_{\psi_k^{(0)}} = \sum_{I,J=1}^{\dim \mathcal{F}^{t}(M,n)} c_{kI}^* c_{kJ} \left\langle (\mathcal{S}\overline{\mathcal{T}})_I \right| \frac{2icd_e}{e\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma^0(j) \gamma^5(j) \vec{p}(j)^2 \left| (\mathcal{S}\overline{\mathcal{T}})_J \right\rangle$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>S Knecht, H J Aa Jensen, TF, *J Chem Phys* **132** (2010) *014108* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>TF and M K Nayak, *Phys Rev A* **88** (2013) *032514* 

#### Search for the Electron EDM

Why molecules?

Be an atom in a parity eigenstate  $\hat{\mathcal{P}} |\psi_p\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{p}(i) \hat{\mathcal{A}} |\varphi_a(1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \varphi_m(n)\rangle.$ Then

$$\left\langle \psi_p | \hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} | \psi_p \right\rangle = \left\langle \psi_p | \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{P}} \hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{P}} | \psi_p \right\rangle = -p^2 \left\langle \psi_p | \hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} | \psi_p \right\rangle$$
$$= -\left\langle \psi_p | \hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} | \psi_p \right\rangle = 0$$

Parity eigenstates need to be mixed (polarization).

- 1. A perturbing laboratory E field is required to mix parity eigenstates. TI experiment<sup>12</sup>  $E_{\rm eff} \approx 0.05 \left[\frac{\rm GV}{\rm cm}\right]$
- 2. Molecular fields: YbF<sup>13</sup>:  $E_{\rm eff} \approx 26 \left[\frac{\rm GV}{\rm cm}\right]$ , HgF<sup>14</sup>:  $E_{\rm eff} \approx 100 \left[\frac{\rm GV}{\rm cm}\right]$ ,

<sup>14</sup>Dmitriev et al., *Phys Lett* **167A** (1992) *280* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>V.V. Flambaum, Sov J Nucl Phys **24** (1976) 199

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>D.M. Kara, I.J. Smallman, J.J. Hudson, B.E. Sauer, M.R. Tarbutt, E.A. Hinds, New J Phys 14 (2012) 103051

# The eEDM in a molecular framework

 $^{3}\Delta \text{ molecules}^{15}$ 



- One heavy nucleus (relativistic effect)
- One "science" electron  $(\sigma^1)$ , one "spectroscopy" electron  $(\delta^1)$
- Large  $E_{\rm eff}$  for  $\sigma^1$  electron
- Deeply bound molecule (fluorides)
- Small  $\Lambda$  ( $\Omega$ )-doublet splitting (optimal polarization)
- Large rotational constant (one heavy, one light atom)
- $\Omega = 1$  component preferred (small magnetic moment)
- $\bullet \ \Rightarrow \ \mbox{Low-lying} \ ^3\Delta_1 \ \mbox{as} \ \ \mbox{"science"} \ \ \mbox{state}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>E. Meyer, J. Bohn, D.A. Deskevich, *Phys Rev A* **73** (2006) *062108* 

# $HfF^+$

Flavor Physics and Mass Generation, Singapore, February 14, 2014

#### The eEDM in a molecular framework

A Proposed Measurement<sup>16</sup> on HfF<sup>+</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>A.E. Leanhardt, J.L. Bohn, H. Loh, P. Maletinsky, E.R. Meyer, L.C. Sinclair, R.P. Stutz, E.A. Cornell, J Mol Spectrosc **270** (2011) 1

#### **HfF**<sup>+</sup> electronic states and spectroscopic constants



	$R_{e}$ [a.u.]			$\omega_e \; [{ m cm}^{-1}]$				
Model	$\Omega = 0$	$\Omega = 1$	$\Omega = 2$	$\Omega = 3$	$\Omega = 0$	$\Omega = 1$	$\Omega = 2$	$\Omega = 3$
CAS-CI(10)	3.400	3.436	3.434	3.431	796	774	775	778
MR-CISD(10)	3.506	3.558	3.557	3.552	656	643	643	644
MR-CISD+T(10)	3.510	3.560			654	643		
MR-CISD(20)	3.401	3.438	3.437	3.434	800	768	769	772
Experiment <sup>17</sup>					790.76	760.9		
Experiment <sup>18</sup>	3.374	3.407			791.2	761.3	762.3	761.5

<sup>17</sup>K. Cossel et al., *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **546** (2012) *1* 

<sup>18</sup>B.B. Barker, I.O. Antonov, V.E. Bondybey, M.C. Heaven, J Chem Phys **134** (2011) 201102

### **HfF<sup>+</sup>:** $E_{\text{eff}}$ in the $\Omega = 1$ science state<sup>19</sup>

Model	$E_{\rm eff} \left[ \frac{\rm GV}{\rm cm} \right]$
CAS-CI(10)	24.1
MR-CISD(10)	22.4
MR-CISD(20)	23.3
MR-CISD+T(20)	23.7
MR-CISD(34)	22.9
MR-CISD(34)+T	23.3
Estimate, Meyer et al. <sup>20</sup>	$\approx 30$
$20 e^-$ corr., Titov et al. <sup>21</sup>	24.2

(+) All-electron calculation

- (+) No configuration selection
- (+) Spinors as one-particle basis functions
- (+) Dirac-Coulomb Hamiltonian

- (-) Basis-set incompleteness
  - $\rightarrow$  vQZ corrections
- (-) Higher excitations
  - $\rightarrow$  CC expectation values

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>TF and M.K. Nayak, *Phys Rev A* **88** (2013) *032514* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>A.N. Petrov, N.S. Mosyagin, T.A. Isaev, A.V. Titov, *Phys Rev A* **76** (2007) *030501(R)* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>E.R. Meyer, J.L. Bohn, *Phys Rev A* **78** (2008) *010502(R)* 

# ThO

Flavor Physics and Mass Generation, Singapore, February 14, 2014

#### Most Recent Measurement: ThO Molecule

#### ACME Collaboration, Harvard/Yale







#### Order of Magnitude Smaller Limit on the Electric Dipole Moment of the Electron

The ACME Collaboration\*: J. Baron<sup>1</sup>, W. C. Campbell<sup>2</sup>, D. DeMille<sup>3</sup>, J. M. Doyle<sup>1</sup>, G. Gabrielse<sup>1</sup>, Y. V. Gurevich<sup>1,\*\*</sup>, P. W. Hess<sup>1</sup>, N. R. Hutzler<sup>1</sup>, E. Kirilov<sup>3,#</sup>, I. Kozyryev<sup>3,†</sup>, B. R. O'Leary<sup>3</sup>, C. D. Panda<sup>1</sup>, M. F. Parsons<sup>1</sup>, E. S. Petrik<sup>1</sup>, B. Spaun<sup>1</sup>, A. C. Vutha<sup>4</sup>, and A. D. West<sup>3</sup>

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics fails to explain dark matter and why matter survived annihilation with antimatter following the Big Bang. Extensions to the SM, such as weak-scale Supersymmetry, may explain one or both of these phenomena by positing the existence of new particles and interactions that are asymmetric under time-reversal (T). These theories nearly always predict a small, yet potentially measurable  $(10^{-27}$ - $10^{-30}$  e cm) electron electric dipole moment (EDM,  $d_e$ ), which is an asymmetric charge distribution along the spin  $(\vec{S})$ . The EDM is also asymmetric under T. Using the polar molecule thorium monoxide (ThO), we measure  $d_e = (-2.1 \pm 3.7_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.5_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-29} e \text{ cm}$ . This corresponds to an upper limit of  $|d_e| < 8.7 \times 10^{-29} e \text{ cm}$  with 90 percent confidence, an order of magnitude improvement in sensitivity compared to the previous best limits. Our result constrains T-violating physics at the TeV energy scale.

The exceptionally high internal effective electric field ( $\mathcal{E}_{\text{eff}}$ ) of heavy neutral stome and molecules can be used to precisely probe

is prepared using optical pumping and state preparation lasers. Parallel electric  $(\vec{\mathcal{E}})$  and magnetic  $(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  fields exert torques on the electric and magnetic dipole moments, causing the spin vector to precess in the xy plane. The precession angle is measured with a readout laser and fluorescence detection. A change in this angle as  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{eff}}$  is reversed is proportional to  $d_e$ .



Science 6168 (2014) 269

#### Electron Electric Dipole Moment and Hyperfine Interaction Constants for ThO

Timo  $Fleig^1$  and Malaya K. Nayak<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Chimie et Physique Quantiques, IRSAMC, Université Paul Sabatier Toulouse III, 118 Route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse, France <sup>2</sup>Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Mumbai - 400085, India (Dated: January 22, 2014)

A recently implemented relativistic four-component configuration interaction approach to study  $\mathcal{P}$ - and  $\mathcal{T}$ -odd interaction constants in atoms and molecules is employed to determine the electron electric dipole moment effective electric field in the  $\Omega = 1$  first excited state of the ThO molecule. We obtain a value of  $E_{\text{eff}} = 75.6 \left[\frac{\text{GV}}{\text{cm}}\right]$  with an estimated error bar of 3% and 10% smaller than a previously reported result [arXiv:1308.0414 [physics.atom-ph]]. Using the same wavefunction model we obtain an excitation energy of  $T_v^{\Omega=1} = 5329 \text{ [cm}^{-1}$ ], in accord with the experimental value within 2%. In addition, we report the implementation of the magnetic hyperfine interaction constant  $A_{||}$  as an expectation value, resulting in  $A_{||} = -1335$  [MHz] for the  $\Omega = 1$  state in ThO. The smaller effective electric field increases the previously measured upper bound to the electron electric dipole moment interaction constant [arXiv:1310.7534v2 [physics.atom-ph]] and thus mildly mitigates constraints to possible extensions of the Standard Model of particle physics.

1401.2284v2 J Mol Spectrosc (2014) submitted

#### Molecular Wavefunction for the "Science" State

	# of Kramers pairs	accumulated # of electrons min. max.	
Deleted	(176)		$^{3}\Delta_{1}$ is the first molecular
Virtual	183–K	36 36	excited state
Th: 6d σπ,7p, 8s Th: 7s, 6dδ	K	36-m 36	$7s^16d\delta^1$ configuration considerably mixed in this state
Th: 6s, 6p O: 2s, 2p	8	34–n 34	
Th: 5d	5	18-p 18	CI expansion space
Th: 5s, 5p	4	8-q 8	$\leq 500.000.000$ terms
Frozen core	(31)		

**Basis Sets** 

Basis set/Cl Model	$T_v  [\rm cm^{-1}]$	$E_{eff}\left[\frac{\mathrm{GV}}{\mathrm{cm}}\right]$	$A_{  }$ [MHz]
$vDZ/MR_3$ -CISD(18)	4535	80.8	-1283
$vTZ/MR_3$ -CISD(18)	3832	81.0	-1292
$vQZ/MR_3$ -CISD(18)	3643	80.7	-1298

Vertical excitation energy, effective electric field, and hyperfine constant at an internuclear distance of R = 3.477 a<sub>0</sub> for  $\Omega = 1$  using basis sets with increasing cardinal number and the wavefunction model MR<sub>3</sub>-CISD(18)

Magnetic hyperfine interaction constant:

$$A_{||} = \frac{\mu_{Th}}{I\Omega} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\vec{\alpha_i} \times \vec{r_i}}{r_i^3} \right)_z \right\rangle_{\psi}$$

**Number of Correlated Electrons** 

CI Model	$T_v  [{\rm cm}^{-1}]$	$E_{eff}\left[\frac{\mathrm{GV}}{\mathrm{cm}}\right]$	$A_{  }$ [MHz]
MR-CISD(2)	5929	68.5	-1264
$MR_3$ -CISD(18)	3832	81.0	-1292
$MR_3$ -CISD(28)	3752	80.0	-1297
$MR_3$ -CISD(36) <sup>22</sup>	3742	80.8	-1287

Vertical excitation energy, effective electric field, and hyperfine constant at an internuclear distance of R = 3.477 a<sub>0</sub> for  $\Omega = 1$  correlating only the atomic valence shells down to including core-valence and core-core correlation and using the vTZ basis sets

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$ Due to extreme computational demand the virtual cutoff is 5 a.u. here.

#### **Active 4-Spinor Spaces**

CI Model	$T_v  [{\rm cm}^{-1}]$	$E_{eff}\left[\frac{\mathrm{GV}}{\mathrm{cm}}\right]$	$A_{  }$ [MHz]
$MR_3$ -CISD(18)	3832	81.0	-1292
$MR_5$ -CISD(18)	4054	79.7	-1291
$MR_7$ -CISD(18)	4321	80.1	-1318
$MR_{10}$ -CISD(18)	5329	75.6	-1335
Exp. $(T_e)^{23}$	5317		

Vertical excitation energy, effective electric field, and hyperfine constant at an internuclear distance of R = 3.477 a<sub>0</sub> for  $\Omega = 1$  using the vTZ basis set and varying active spinor spaces

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>J. Paulovič, T. Nakajima, K. Hirao, R. Lindh, and P.-Å. Malmqvist, J. Chem. Phys. **119** (2003) 798

#### **Higher Excitations**

CI Model	$T_v  [{\rm cm}^{-1}]$	$E_{eff}\left[\frac{\mathrm{GV}}{\mathrm{cm}}\right]$	$A_{  }$ [MHz]
$MR_3$ -CISD(18)	4535	80.8	-1283
$MR_9$ -CISD(18)	5703	73.8	-1321
$MR_3$ -CISDT(18)	5166	74.5	-1340

Vertical excitation energy, effective electric field, and hyperfine constant at an internuclear distance of R = 3.477 a<sub>0</sub> for  $\Omega = 1$  using the vDZ basis set and varying maximum excitation rank



Flavor Physics and Mass Generation, Singapore, February 14, 2014

### **Upper bounds on the eEDM**<sup>24</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>A.V. Titov, N.S. Mosyagin, A.N. Petrov, T.A. Isaev, D.P. DeMille, *Recent Advances in the Theory of Chemical and Physical Systems* (2006) *253-283*; courtesy: Huliyar (2009), DeMille (2005)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>B.C. Regan, E.D. Commins, C.J. Schmidt, D.P. DeMille, *Phys Rev Lett* 88 (2002) 071805/1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>J.J. Hudson, D.M. Kara, I.J. Smallman, B.E. Sauer, M.R. Tarbutt, E.A. Hinds, *Nature* **473** (2011) *493* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>ACME Collaboration, Science **6168** (2014) *269*, TF and MKN, 1401.2284v2

# Outlook



#### Project EDMeDM.

- Hyperfine interaction constants for an experimentally known diatomic molecule comparison with our calculations
- $\bullet$  Implementation of the scalar-pseudoscalar  ${\cal P}$  and  ${\cal T}$  odd electron-nucleon interaction Hamiltonian
- Development of size-extensive approach to calculation of enhancement factors (Coupled Cluster theory)
- Study of other diatomic molecules (in particular ThF<sup>+</sup> (JILA, Boulder), WC)