# In Search of Signatures of **Beyond-Standard-Model Physics** in Diatomic Molecules

### 2. Timo Fleig

Département de Physique Laboratoire de Chimie et de Physique Quantiques Université Paul Sabatier Toulouse III -France

June 14, 2015



Paul Sabatier TOULOUSE II

Laboratoire de Chimie et Physique Quantique

#### The Team



#### Malaya K. Nayak

LCPQ, Toulouse, France

BARC, Mumbai, India



Malika Denis

LCPQ, Toulouse, France





#### **Open Questions at Large Scale and at Small Scale**



- Matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe<sup>1</sup>
- Nature of **cold dark matter**
- Degree of  $\mathcal{CP}$  violation in nature<sup>2</sup>
- Detection/constraint of EDMs as a powerful probe of possible explanations/consequences<sup>3</sup>



<sup>1</sup>M. Dine, A. Kusenko, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76** (2004) *1* 

- <sup>2</sup>G. C. Branco, R. G. Felipe, F. R. Joaquim, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84** (2012) *515*
- <sup>3</sup>J. Engel, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, U. van Kolck, Prog. Part. Nuc. Phys. **71** (2013) 21

#### **Electric Dipole Moments and Their Source Tree**<sup>4</sup>



 $d_e$ : electron EDM

 $(\mathcal{P} \text{ and } \mathcal{T})$ -violating electron-nucleon interaction

 $(\mathcal{P} \text{ and } \mathcal{T})$ -violating NMQM interaction

• EDMs are low-energy physics probes of high-energy physics symmetry breaking

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>M. Pospelov, A. Ritz, "Electric dipole moments as probes of new physics", Ann. Phys. **318** (2005) 119

#### **Electron EDM Interaction**

 $d_e = \frac{\Delta \epsilon}{E_{\text{off}}} \begin{array}{c} (\text{Experiment}) \\ (\text{Theory}) \end{array}$ 



Single-particle  $\mathcal{P}$ - and  $\mathcal{T}$ -odd eEDM Hamiltonian<sup>5</sup>:  $\hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} = -\frac{d_e}{4} \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \left(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}\right) F_{\mu\nu} = -d_e \gamma^0 \left[\mathbf{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \imath \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{B}\right]$ Internal electric field contributions

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{int}}(i) = \sum_{A=1}^{N} \frac{Ze \ (\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_A})}{||\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_A}||^3} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{e \ (\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j})}{||\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}||^3}$$

Expectation value in many-body system in accord with stratagem II<sup>6</sup>

$$-\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j}^{0} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{j} \right\rangle_{\psi^{(0)}} \approx \frac{2ic}{e\hbar} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j}^{0} \gamma_{j}^{5} \vec{p}_{j}^{2} \right\rangle_{\psi^{(0)}} := E_{\text{eff}}$$

<sup>6</sup>E. Lindroth, E. Lynn, P.G.H. Sandars, J Phys B: At Mol Opt Phys 22 (1989) 559

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>E. Salpeter, *Phys Rev* **112** (1958) *1642* 

#### **Nuclear Magnetic Quadrupole Moment Interaction**<sup>7</sup>

Effective molecular Hamiltonian<sup>8</sup> for linear molecule along **n**:

$$\hat{H}_{MQM}^{\text{eff}} = -\frac{W_M M}{2I(2I-1)} \, \mathbf{J_e} \, \hat{\mathbf{T}} \, \mathbf{n}$$

*W<sub>M</sub>*: MQM-electron-magnetic-field interaction constant



with the components of the nuclear MQM  $M_{i,k} = \frac{3M}{2I(2I-1)} T_{i,k} \qquad T_{i,k} = I_i I_k + I_k I_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{i,k} I(I+1),$   $W_M \propto \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \mathbf{r}}{r^5}\right)_3 x_3$ 

Calculation<sup>9</sup> via electric-field gradient with the help of  $\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \mathbf{r}}{r^5}\right)_3 x_3 = \alpha_1 \frac{x_2 x_3}{r^5} - \alpha_2 \frac{x_1 x_3}{r^5} \qquad \iiint \frac{x_i x_j}{r^5} d^3 x = \frac{1}{3} \iiint \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{x_j}{r^3} d^3 x$ 

<sup>7</sup>I.B. Khriplovich, Sov. Phys. JETP 44 (1976) 25; O.P. Sushkov, V.V. Flambaum, I.B. Khriplovich, Sov. Phys. JETP 60 (1984) 873
<sup>8</sup>V.V. Flambaum, D. DeMille, M.G. Kozlov, Phys Rev Lett, 113 (2014) 103003
<sup>9</sup>TF, M.K. Nayak, M.G. Kozlov, Phys Rev, to be submitted

#### **Correlated Wavefunction Theory for** $\mathcal{P}$ , $\mathcal{T}$ -odd Properties

- Dirac-Coulomb Hamiltonian operator  $\hat{H}^{DC} = \sum_{A} \sum_{i} \left[ c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p})_i + \beta_i m_0 c^2 + V_{iA} \right] + \sum_{i,j>i} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \mathbb{1}_4 + \sum_{A,B>A} V_{AB}$
- All-electron Dirac-Coulomb Hartree-Fock (DCHF) calculation set of time-reversal paired 4-spinors  $\hat{K}\varphi_i = \varphi_{\bar{i}}$  and  $\hat{K}\varphi_{\bar{i}} = -\varphi_i$
- Expansion and variation<sup>10</sup> in *n*-electron sector of Fock space  $\begin{aligned} &|\psi_k\rangle = \sum_{I=1}^{\dim \mathcal{F}^{t}(\mathrm{M,n})} c_{kI} \left( \mathcal{S}\overline{\mathcal{T}} \right)_{I} | \rangle & \text{unbarred (Kramers up) string } \mathcal{S} = a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{j}^{\dagger} a_{k}^{\dagger} \dots \\ &\text{barred (Kramers down) string } \overline{\mathcal{S}} = a_{\overline{l}}^{\dagger} a_{\overline{m}}^{\dagger} a_{\overline{n}}^{\dagger} \dots \end{aligned}$

Expectation values over relativistic Configuration Interaction wavefunctions<sup>11</sup>  $\left\langle \hat{H}' \right\rangle_{\psi_k^{(0)}} = \sum_{I,J=1}^{\dim \mathcal{F}^t(\mathbf{M},\mathbf{n})} c_{kI}^* c_{kJ} \left\langle \left| \left( \mathcal{S}\overline{\mathcal{T}} \right)_I^\dagger \right| \hat{H}' \right| (\mathcal{S}\overline{\mathcal{T}})_J \left| \right. \right\rangle$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>S. Knecht, H.J.Aa. Jensen, T.F., *J Chem Phys* **132** (2010) *014108* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> S. Knecht, Dissertation, HHU Düsseldorf 2009

#### $\mathcal{P}$ , $\mathcal{T}\text{-}odd$ Properties as Expectation Values

Interaction constants for n-electron system

• Electron eEDM interaction constant

 $W_d := \frac{2\iota c}{\Omega e\hbar} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^0 \gamma_j^5 \vec{p}_j^2 \right\rangle_{\psi_k^{(0)}}$ 

$$\left< \hat{H}_{\mathsf{eEDM}} \right> = d_e \, \Omega \, W_d$$

• S-PS electron-nucleon interaction constant

$$W_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T}} := \frac{\imath}{\Omega} \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} Z \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^0 \gamma_j^5 \rho_N(\vec{r}_j) \right\rangle_{\psi_k^{(0)}}$$

$$\left\langle \hat{H}_{\text{e-nSPS}} \right\rangle = k_s \,\Omega \, W_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T}}$$

• Nuclear magnetic quadrupole - electronic magnetic field interaction

$$W_M = \frac{3}{2\Omega} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j \times \mathbf{r}_{jA}}{r_{jA}^5} \right)_z (r_{jA})_z \right\rangle_{\psi_k^{(0)}}$$

#### Four-Spinor Based Generalized-Active-Space CI<sup>12</sup>

TaN sample system wavefunction parameterization

GAS-extended projection manifold  $\left< \mu_{ ext{GASCI}}^{N} \right| = \left< \mu_{ ext{hole space}}^{ ext{particle space}} \right|$ 

Selected sub-sets of higher excitations in projection manifold:

 $\left\langle \mu^{T} \right| \in \left\{ \left\langle \mu_{III^{3}}^{IV^{1},V^{2}} \right|, \dots, \left\langle \mu_{II^{2},III^{1}}^{IV^{1},V^{2}} \right| \right\}$ 

		# of Kramers pairs	accumulated # of electrons min. max
V Virt	Virtual	110	18 18
IV	Ta: 6p,7s,7p,π Ta: 6s, 5dδ	K	18–q 18
III	N: 2p (Ta: d)	3	16-p 16
II	N: 2s (Ta: d)	1	10-n 10
Ι	Ta: 5s, 5p	4	8-m 8
	Frozen core	(31)	

1)

$$\left\langle \mu^{Q} \right| \in \left\{ \left\langle \mu_{III^{3},IV^{1}}^{IV^{4}} \right|, \dots, \left\langle \mu_{III^{3},IV^{1}}^{IV^{2},V^{2}} \right|, \dots, \left\langle \mu_{II^{2},III^{1},IV^{1}}^{IV^{2},V^{2}} \right| \right\}$$

$$\left\langle \mu^{5} \right| \in \left\{ \left\langle \mu_{III^{3},IV^{2}}^{IV^{5}} \right|, \dots, \left\langle \mu_{III^{3},IV^{2}}^{IV^{3},V^{2}} \right|, \dots, \left\langle \mu_{II^{2},III^{1},IV^{2}}^{IV^{3},V^{2}} \right|, \dots, \left\langle \mu_{I^{1},II^{1},III^{1},III^{1},III^{1},IV^{1}}^{IV^{3},V^{2}} \right| \right\}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>TF, J. Olsen, L. Visscher, J Chem Phys **119** (2003) 2963, S. Knecht, H.J.Aa. Jensen, TF, J Chem Phys **132** (2010) 014108, J. Olsen, J Chem Phys 113 (2000) 7140

#### Search for the Electron EDM

Why molecules?

Be an atom in a parity eigenstate  $\hat{\mathcal{P}} |\psi_p\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{p}(i) \hat{\mathcal{A}} |\varphi_a(1) \dots \varphi_m(n)\rangle$ . Then  $\left\langle \psi_p | \hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} | \psi_p \right\rangle = \left\langle \psi_p | \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{P}} \hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{P}} | \psi_p \right\rangle = -p^2 \left\langle \psi_p | \hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} | \psi_p \right\rangle$  $= -\left\langle \psi_p | \hat{H}_{\text{EDM}} | \psi_p \right\rangle = 0$ 

Parity eigenstates need to be mixed (polarization).

- 1. A perturbing laboratory E field is required to mix parity eigenstates. TI experiment<sup>13</sup>  $E_{\rm eff} \approx 0.05 \left[\frac{{\rm GV}}{{
  m cm}}\right]$
- 2. Molecular fields: YbF<sup>14</sup>:  $E_{\rm eff} \approx 26 \left[\frac{\rm GV}{\rm cm}\right]$ , HgF<sup>15</sup>:  $E_{\rm eff} \approx 100 \left[\frac{\rm GV}{\rm cm}\right]$ ,

<sup>15</sup>Dmitriev et al., *Phys Lett* **167A** (1992) *280* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>V.V. Flambaum, Sov J Nucl Phys **24** (1976) 199

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>D.M. Kara, I.J. Smallman, J.J. Hudson, B.E. Sauer, M.R. Tarbutt, E.A. Hinds, New J Phys 14 (2012) 103051

## The eEDM in a molecular framework

 $^{3}\Delta$  molecules $^{16}$ 



- One heavy nucleus (relativistic effect)
- One "science" electron  $(\sigma^1)$ one "spectroscopy" electron  $(\delta^1)$
- Large  $E_{\rm eff}$  for  $\sigma^1$  electron
- Deeply bound and strongly polar molecules (fluorides, oxides, (nitrides))
- Small  $\Lambda$  ( $\Omega$ )-doublet splitting<sup>17</sup> (optimal polarization)
- Small reduced mass (one heavy, one light atom)
- $\Omega = 1$  component preferred (small magnetic moment)

 $\Rightarrow$  Low-lying  ${}^{3}\Delta_{1}$  as "science" state

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>E. Meyer, J. Bohn, D.A. Deskevich, *Phys Rev A* **73** (2006) *062108* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>TF, C.M. Marian, J Mol Spectrosc **178** (1996) 1

# ThO

Experiment: ACME Collaboration, Yale/Harvard, (DeMille/Doyle/Gabrielse groups)

#### Order of Magnitude Smaller Limit on the Electric Dipole Moment of the Electron

The ACME Collaboration\*: J. Baron<sup>1</sup>, W. C. Campbell<sup>2</sup>, D. DeMille<sup>3</sup>, J. M. Doyle<sup>1</sup>, G. Gabrielse<sup>1</sup>, Y. V. Gurevich<sup>1,\*\*</sup>, P. W. Hess<sup>1</sup>, N. R. Hutzler<sup>1</sup>, E. Kirilov<sup>3,#</sup>, I. Kozyryev<sup>3,†</sup>, B. R. O'Leary<sup>3</sup>, C. D. Panda<sup>1</sup>, M. F. Parsons<sup>1</sup>, E. S. Petrik<sup>1</sup>, B. Spaun<sup>1</sup>, A. C. Vutha<sup>4</sup>, and A. D. West<sup>3</sup>

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics fails to explain dark matter and why matter survived annihilation with antimatter following the Big Bang. Extensions to the SM, such as weak-scale Supersymmetry, may explain one or both of these phenomena by positing the existence of new particles and interactions that are asymmetric under time-reversal (T). These theories nearly always predict a small, yet potentially measurable  $(10^{-27}$ - $10^{-30}$  e cm) electron electric dipole moment (EDM,  $d_e$ ), which is an asymmetric charge distribution along the spin  $(\vec{S})$ . The EDM is also asymmetric under T. Using the polar molecule thorium monoxide (ThO), we measure  $d_e = (-2.1 \pm 3.7_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.5_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-29} \ e \text{ cm}$ . This corresponds to an upper limit of  $|d_e| < 8.7 \times 10^{-29} \ e \text{ cm}$  with 90 percent confidence, an order of magnitude improvement in sensitivity compared to the previous best limits. Our result constrains T-violating physics at the TeV energy scale.

The exceptionally high internal effective electric field ( $\mathcal{E}_{eff}$ ) of heavy neutral atoms and molecules can be used to precisely probe

is prepared using optical pumping and state preparation lasers. Parallel electric  $(\vec{\mathcal{E}})$  and magnetic  $(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  fields exert torques on the electric and magnetic dipole moments, causing the spin vector to precess in the xy plane. The precession angle is measured with a readout laser and fluorescence detection. A change in this angle as  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{eff}}$  is reversed is proportional to  $d_e$ .



Theory
$$E_{eff}[\frac{GV}{cm}]$$
 $W_{P,T}[kHz]$ 2c-CCSD(T)^{18}81.51124c-MR-CISD^{19}75.2105

<sup>18</sup>L. Skripnikov, A.V. Titov, *J Chem Phys* **142** (2015) *024301* 

<sup>19</sup>TF, M.K. Nayak, J Mol Spectrosc **300** (2014) 16; M. Denis, TF (2015)

# Variation due to spinor choice 0.2%

# Augmentation of virtual space: **further slight decrease**

# **Historical Development of eEDM Upper Bound**<sup>20</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Sandars (1975), Commins, DeMille (2008)

#### eEDM Constraint on Beyond-Standard-Model Theories<sup>21</sup>



Model	$ d_e [e\cdot cm]$
Standard model	$< 10^{-38}$
Left-right symmetric	$10^{-28} \dots 10^{-26}$
Lepton-flavor changing	$10^{-29} \dots 10^{-26}$
Multi-Higgs	$10^{-28} \dots 10^{-27}$
Supersymmetric	$\leq 10^{-25}$
Experimental limit (TI) <sup>22</sup>	$< 1.6 \cdot 10^{-27}$
Experimental limit (YbF) <sup>23</sup>	$< 10.5 \cdot 10^{-28}$
Experimental limit (ThO) <sup>24</sup>	$< 9.6 \cdot 10^{-29}$

<sup>21</sup>Courtesy: DeMille (2005), Huliyar (2009)

<sup>22</sup>B.C. Regan, E.D. Commins, C.J. Schmidt, D.P. DeMille, *Phys Rev Lett* 88 (2002) 071805/1

<sup>23</sup>J.J. Hudson, D.M. Kara, I.J. Smallman, B.E. Sauer, M.R. Tarbutt, E.A. Hinds, *Nature* **473** (2011) *493* 

<sup>24</sup>D. DeMille, ICAP 2014, Washington D.C., ACME Collaboration, *Science* 6168 (2014) *269*, TF and M. K. Nayak, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **300** (2014) *16*, L. V. Skripnikov, A. N. Petrov, A. V. Titov, *J. Chem. Phys.* 139 (2013) *221103*, L. V. Skripnikov, A. V. Titov, *J. Chem. Phys.* 142 (2015) *024301*

# Molecular (cat)ions HfF<sup>+</sup>/ThF<sup>+</sup>

Experiment: JILA, Boulder, Colorado (Cornell group)

#### **EDM Studies in Molecular Ions**

as opposed to neutral molecules<sup>25</sup>

- Valence isoelectronic with neutral contenders (ThO, WC, et al.)
- Sufficiently large value of  $E_{eff}$ Hope for very large value<sup>26</sup> in ThF<sup>+</sup> due to Z = 90
- Use of ion traps and rotating electric fields
   ⇒ Long interrogation times
- A related point: HfF<sup>+</sup> electronic ground state:  ${}^{1}\Sigma_{0}^{+}$ ThF<sup>+</sup> electronic ground state<sup>27</sup>:  ${}^{3}\Delta_{1}$  or  ${}^{1}\Sigma_{0}^{+}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>H. Loh, K.C. Cossel, M.C. Grau, K.-K. Ni, E.R. Meyer, J.L. Bohn, J. Ye, E.A. Cornell, *Science* **342** (2013) *1220*A.E. Leanhardt, J.L. Bohn, H. Loh, P. Maletinsky, E.R. Meyer, L.C. Sinclair, R.P. Stutz, E.A. Cornell, *J Mol Spectrosc* **270**<sup>26</sup>(2011) *1*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>E.R. Meyer, J.L. Bohn, *Phys Rev A* **78** (2008) *010502(R)* 

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>M. Denis, M.N. Pedersen, H.J.Aa. Jensen, A.S.P. Gomes, M.K. Nayak, S. Knecht, TF, New J Phys (2015) 7 (2015) 043005
 B. Barker, I.O. Antonov, M.C. Heaven, K.A. Peterson, J Chem Phys 136 (2012) 104305

# The eEDM in a molecular framework

A Proposed Measurement<sup>28</sup> on HfF<sup>+</sup>



<sup>28</sup>A.E. Leanhardt, J.L. Bohn, H. Loh, P. Maletinsky, E.R. Meyer, L.C. Sinclair, R.P. Stutz, E.A. Cornell, J Mol Spectrosc **270** (2011) 1

#### **Molecular Wavefunctions from CC and CI**

		Th spinor distribution on spaces							
			Model	4f5s5p	5d	бѕбр	5f6d7	s 7p7d8s8	Bp6f
	IHFS	SCC	$\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{CC}}$	frozen	frozen	$\overline{Q}$	$P_m$	$P_i$	
			$\mathcal{II}^{\mathcal{CC}}$	frozen	Q	Q	$P_m$	$P_i$	
			$III^{CC}$	Q	Q	Q	$P_m$	$P_i$	
	MRC		$\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{CI}}$	frozen	Q-S	Q-S	$P_m$	Q-SI	$\mathcal{I}$
			${\cal II}^{{\cal CI}}$	frozen	Q - SD	Q - SD	$P_m$	Q-SI	$\mathcal{I}$
Model		Th F :	${6s,6p\atop 2s,2p}$	Th $7s$ , $6d\delta$	Th $6d\pi$	Th $6d\sigma$	,7 $p\pi$	Th 7 $p\sigma$ ,8 $s$	< 10 a.u
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI}}$	Ζ,3	Q -	-SD	$P_m$	Q - SD	Q - S	SD	Q - SD	Q - SD
$III^{CI}$	T+T,3	Q ·	-SD	$P_m$	$Q - SD'_{2}$	$\Gamma \qquad Q-S$	DT (	Q - SDT	Q - SDT
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI}}$	Ζ,5	Q -	-SD	$P_m$	$P_m$	Q-S	SD	Q - SD	Q - SD
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI}}$	Ζ,8	Q -	-SD	$P_m$	$P_m$	$P_m$		Q - SD	Q - SD
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI}}$	Ζ,10	Q -	-SD	$P_m$	$P_m$	$P_m$		$P_m$	Q - SD
$\mathcal{IV}^{\mathcal{CI}}$		fr	ozen	$P_m$	$P_m$	$P_m$		$P_m$	Q - SD

# Low-Lying Electronic States $^{29}$ of $\rm ThF^+$

			Electronic state energy				
Method	$Model^a$	Hamiltonian	$^{-1}\Sigma_{0^+}^+$	$^{3}\Delta_{1}$	$^{3}\Delta_{2}$	$^{3}\Delta_{3}$	$^{3}\Pi_{0^{-}}$
IHFSCC	$\mathcal{II}^{\mathcal{CC}}$	2c	42	0.00	1062	3146	4499
	$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CC},\dagger}$	2c	15	0	1062	3149	4511
	$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CC},\ddagger}$	2c	191	0	1048	3157	4123
	$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CC},*}$	2c	319	0	1039	3162	3841
MRCI	$\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{CI}}$	2c	854	0	1154	3189	3388
	$\mathcal{II}^{\mathcal{CI}}$	2c	630	0	1167	2986	-
	$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI},10}$	4c	538	0	1155	3012	-
$CCSD(T)+SO^{b}$			501	0	890	2157	
$CCSDT+SO^b$			143	0	890	2157	
$CCSDT(Q) + SO^b$			0	66	955	2223	
Experiment <sup>b</sup>			0	315.0(5)	1052.5(5)	3150(15)	3395(15)

Spinor-based correlation methods yield similar results

**Orbital-based** perturbative correlation methods underestimate  ${}^{3}\Delta$  **splittings dramatically** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>*a* M. Denis, M.N. Pedersen, H.J.Aa. Jensen, A.S.P. Gomes, M.K. Nayak, S. Knecht, TF, New J Phys 7 (2015) 043005

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>B. Barker, I.O. Antonov, M.C. Heaven, K.A. Peterson, J Chem Phys **136** (2012) 104305

## (Four-)Spinors vs. Orbitals

The electronic ground state of of  $ThF^+$ 

 $\frac{\text{Hypothesis:}}{\Delta \varepsilon_{\delta_{5/2} - \delta_{3/2}}} = 2166 \text{ cm}^{-1}.$ 

Configurational composition of  ${}^{3}\Delta$  multiplet states (from MR<sub>10</sub>-CISD(18) model)

Orbital-based methods underestimate term splittings

Error is large for  ${}^{3}\Delta_{2}$ - ${}^{3}\Delta_{3}$  splitting Error is smaller for  ${}^{3}\Delta_{1}$ - ${}^{3}\Delta_{2}$  splitting

Suggested explanation for differing ground-state predictions

# <sup>19</sup>F Magnetic Hyperfine Interaction in ThF<sup>+</sup> and HfF<sup>+</sup> ( $\Omega = 1$ )

Magnetic hyperfine interaction constant:

$$A_{||} = \frac{\mu_F}{I\Omega} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\vec{\alpha_i} \times \vec{r_{iF}}}{r_{iF}^3} \right)_z \right\rangle_{\psi}$$

System	Model	$A_{  }$ [MHz]	spinor character	$R_e$ [a.u.]
$ThF^+$	$MR_{10}$ -CISD(20)	8.9	0.001 $p_z(F)$	3.75
(30)	$MR_{10}$ -CISD(18)	4.3		
$HfF^{+}$ ( <sup>31</sup> )	$MR_6$ -CISD(20)	45.3	$0.001 \ p_z(F)$	3.41

- Unpaired electrons localized on heavy atom
- Correlation of 1s (F) electrons of crucial importance
- $A_{||}$  for ThF<sup>+</sup> very small due to long internuclear distance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> M. Denis, M.N. Pedersen, H.J.Aa. Jensen, A.S.P. Gomes, M.K. Nayak, S. Knecht, TF, *New J Phys* (2015) **7** (2015) 043005 <sup>31</sup>TF and M.K. Nayak, *Phys Rev A* **88** (2013) *032514* 

#### $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}$ -Odd Interactions in ThF<sup>+</sup> ( $\Omega = 1$ ) Basis Sets

Basis set	$T_v [\mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$	$E_{\rm eff}[{\rm GV\over cm}]$	$A_{  }[MHz]$	$W_{P,T}[kHz]$
DZ	378	37.8	1824	51.90
TZ'	787	36.9	1836	50.73
QZ'	877	36.9	1830	50.77

Vertical excitation energy for  $\Omega = 0^+$ , electron EDM effective electric field, magnetic hyperfine interaction constant, and scalar-pseudoscalar electron-nucleon interaction constant for  $\Omega = 1$  at an internuclear distance of  $R = 3.779 \ a_0$  using basis sets with increasing cardinal number and the wavefunction model  $IIII^{CI,5}$ .

Scalar-pseudoscalar electron-nucleon interaction constant:

$$W_{P,T} = \frac{\imath}{\Omega} \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} Z \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^0 \gamma_j^5 \rho_N(\vec{r}_j) \right\rangle_{\psi}$$

## The eEDM in ThF<sup>+</sup> ( $\Omega = 1$ )

#### **Active 4-Spinor Spaces**

CI model(TZ basis)	$T_v [\mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$	$E_{\rm eff}[{\rm GV\over cm}]$	$A_{  }[MHz]$	$W_{P,T}[kHz]$
$\mathcal{IV}^{\mathcal{CI}}$	274	35.4	1749	49.44
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI},3}$	1029	47.5	1842	65.78
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI},5}$	787	36.9	1836	50.73
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI},6}$	709	36.2	1836	49.90
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI},8}$	598	35.6	1834	49.04
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI},10}$	538	35.2	1833	48.35
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI},12}$		35.1	1832	

Vertical excitation energy for  $\Omega = 0^+$ , electron EDM effective electric field, magnetic hyperfine interaction constant, and scalar-pseudoscalar electron-nucleon interaction constant for  $\Omega = 1$  at an internuclear distance of  $R = 3.779 a_0$  using the TZ' basis set, varying number of correlated electrons and varying active spinor spaces.

Large active space ⇒ shifts electron density from Th(s) to Th(p) and Th(d), reducing E<sub>eff</sub>.

# The eEDM in ThF<sup>+</sup> ( $\Omega = 1$ )

#### **Higher Excitations**

CI model(DZ basis)	$T_v [\mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$	$E_{\rm eff}[{\rm GV\over cm}]$	$A_{  }[MHz]$	$W_{P,T}[kHz]$
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI},3}$	654	47.0	1830	64.92
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI},10}$	88	37.1	1832	51.06
$\mathcal{III}^{\mathcal{CI}+T,3}$	247	35.4	1834	48.64

Vertical excitation energy for  $\Omega = 0^+$ , electron EDM effective electric field, magnetic hyperfine interaction constant, and scalar-pseudoscalar electron-nucleon interaction constant for  $\Omega = 1$  at an internuclear distance of  $R = 3.779 a_0$  using the DZ basis set and varying maximum excitation rank.

• Active space accounts for important higher excitations

ThF<sup>+</sup>

#### **Static Molecular Electric Dipole Moment**

$^M\Lambda_\Omega$ State	$T_v  [\mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$	$\left\langle {}^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega} \hat{D}_{z} ^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega} ight angle$ [D]
$^{1}\Sigma_{0}^{+}$	630	3.941
$^{3}\Delta_{1}$	0	4.029
$^{3}\Delta_{2}$	1167	3.970
$^{3}\Delta_{3}$	2986	4.034

Molecular static electric dipole moments  $\langle {}^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega}|\hat{D}_{z}|{}^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega}\rangle$ , with  $\hat{\vec{D}}$  the electric dipole moment operator, using the TZ basis set and the CI model  $\mathcal{II}^{\mathcal{CI}}$ . The origin is at the center of mass, and the internuclear distance is  $R = 3.779 \ [a_0]$  (F nucleus at  $z\vec{e}_z$  with z < 0).

• Very large center-of-mass dipole moment Effectively polarizable, suggest large value of  $E_{\rm eff}$ 

#### ThF<sup>+</sup>

#### **Electric Transition Dipole Moments**

$^M\Lambda_\Omega$ State	$T_v  [\mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$	$1\Sigma_0^+$	$^{3}\Delta_{1}$	$^{3}\Delta_{2}$	$^{3}\Delta_{3}$	${}^{1}\Sigma_{0}({}^{3}\Pi_{0})$	$^{3}\Pi_{0}$	$^{1,3}\Pi_1(^3\Sigma_1)$	$^{3}\Pi_{0}(^{1}\Sigma_{0})$
$^{1}\Sigma_{0}^{+}$	274	-4.004							
$^{3}\Delta_{1}$	0	0.012	-4.075						
$^{3}\Delta_{2}$	724	0.000	0.070	-4.022					
$^{3}\Delta_{3}$	2198	0.000	0.000	0.052	-4.075				
${}^{1}\Sigma_{0}({}^{3}\Pi_{0})$	6344	0.439	0.455	0.000	0.000	-3.752			
$^{3}\Pi_{0}$	6528	0.000	0.571	0.000	0.000	0.000	-2.116		
$^{1,3}\Pi_1(^{3}\Sigma_1)$	6639	0.868	0.142	0.218	0.000	0.197	0.000	-2.375	
$^{3}\Pi_{0}(^{1}\Sigma_{0})$	6747	0.003	0.391	0.000	0.000	0.929	0.000	0.094	-2.717
$^{1,3}\Delta_2(^{3}\Pi_2)$	7008	0.000	0.473	0.334	0.298	0.000	0.000	0.529	0.000
$3\Sigma_1$	7490	0.226	0.069	0.221	0.000	0.136	0.197	0.451	0.145
$^{1,3}\Pi_1$	7918	0.667	0.052	0.801	0.000	0.011	0.064	0.107	0.043
${}^{3}\Phi_{2}({}^{3}\Pi_{2})$	8245	0.000	1.338	0.234	0.272	0.000	0.000	0.134	0.000

Electric transition dipole moments  $\left\| \left\langle {}^{M}\Lambda'_{\Omega} | \hat{\vec{D}} | {}^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega} \right\rangle \right\|$ , with  $\hat{\vec{D}}$  the electric dipole moment operator, and vertical transition energies for low-lying electronic states in [D] units using the TZ' basis set and the CI model  $\mathcal{IV}^{C\mathcal{I}}$ . The origin is at the center of mass, and the internuclear distance is  $R = 3.779 \ [a_0]$ .  $\left( {}^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega} \right)$  denotes a term contributing at least 10% to the state. <sup>1,3</sup> denotes cases where  $\Lambda$ -S coupling breaks down significantly according to the analysis of our spinor-based  $\omega$ - $\omega$  coupled wavefunctions.

#### HfF<sup>+</sup> and ThF<sup>+</sup>: $E_{\text{eff}}$ in the $\Omega = 1$ science state<sup>32</sup>

HfF <sup>+</sup>		ThF <sup>+</sup>	
Model	$E_{\rm eff} \left[ \frac{\rm GV}{\rm cm} \right]$	Model	$E_{\rm eff} \left[ \frac{\rm GV}{\rm cm} \right]$
CAS-CI(10)	24.1		
MR-CISD(10)	22.4		
MR-CISD(20)	23.3	$MR_3$ -CISD(18)	47.5
MR-CISD+T(20)	23.7	$MR_6$ -CISD(18)	36.2
MR-CISD(34)	22.9	$MR_{10}$ -CISD(18)	35.2
MR-CISD(34)+T	23.3	$MR_3$ -CISDT(18)	35.4
Estimate, Meyer et al. <sup>33</sup>	$\approx 30$	Meyer et al.	$\approx 90$
20 e <sup>-</sup> corr., Titov et al. <sup>34</sup>	24.2	$38 e^-$ corr., Titov et al. <sup>35</sup>	$\approx 37.3$

 $(HfF^+)$ 

Similar results with various methods System currently under exp. study  $(\mathsf{Th}\mathsf{F}^+)$ 

Meyer's model inaccurate

CC and CI approaches yield similar results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> TF and M.K. Nayak, *Phys Rev A* **88** (2013) *032514* 

M. Denis, M. K. Nørby, H. J. Aa. Jensen, A. S. P. Gomes, M.K. Nayak, S. Knecht, TF, New J Phys 7 (2015) 043005

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>E.R. Meyer, J.L. Bohn, *Phys Rev A* **78** (2008) *010502(R)* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>A.N. Petrov, N.S. Mosyagin, T.A. Isaev, A.V. Titov, *Phys Rev A* **76** (2007) *030501(R)* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>L. V. Skripnikov, A.V. Titov, *arXiv:1503.01001v1* (2015)

# Nuclear Magnetic Quadrupole Moment

#### **Constraining** $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}$ -violating hadron physics

- Nuclear MQM has two possible sources<sup>36</sup>:
  - 1. Intranuclear  $\mathcal{P}$ -, $\mathcal{T}$ -odd interactions, described by QCD ( $\mathcal{CP}$ )-violating parameter<sup>37</sup>  $\tilde{\Theta}$ ,

 $M_0^{p,n}(\tilde{\Theta}) \approx 2 \times 10^{-29} \,\tilde{\Theta} \, e \, \mathrm{cm}^2$ 

M: valence nucleon MQM

- 2. Neutron/proton EDM (order of magnitude smaller)
- MQM is enhanced in non-spherical (deformed) nuclei<sup>38</sup>
- Enhancement<sup>41</sup> of  $\approx 12$  in <sup>181</sup>Ta, compared to  $M_0^{p,n}$
- TaN is a " $^{3}\Delta$  molecule", experiments planned at ACME (Yale/Harvard)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>V. V. Flambaum, D. DeMille, M. G. Kozlov, *Phys Rev Lett* **113** (2014) *103003* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>R. J. Crewther, P. Di Vecchia, G. Veneziano, E. Witten, *Phys Lett* **88B** (1979) *123* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>V. V. Flambaum, *Phys Lett B* **320** (1994) *211* 



<sup>39</sup>M. Zhou, L. Andrews, *J Phys Chem A* **102** (1998) *9061*; R. S. Ram, J. Liévin, P. F. Bernath, *J Mol Spectrosc* **215** (2002) *275* 

#### TaN, Spectroscopic properties

$^M\Lambda_\Omega$ State	${}^{1}\Sigma_{0}^{+}$	$^{3}\Delta_{1}$	$^{3}\Delta_{2}$	$^{3}\Delta_{3}$	$^{1}\Delta_{2}$
$^{1}\Sigma_{0}^{+}$	-3.515				
$^{3}\Delta_{1}$	0.028	-4.809			
$^{3}\Delta_{2}$	0.000	0.085	-4.775		
$^{3}\Delta_{3}$	0.000	0.000	0.087	-4.776	
$^{1}\Delta_{2}$	0.000	0.139	0.114	0.164	-4.000

Molecular static electric dipole moments  $\langle {}^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega}|\hat{D}_{z}|{}^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega}\rangle$ , transition dipole moments  $\left|\left|\left\langle {}^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega}'|\hat{\vec{D}}|{}^{M}\Lambda_{\Omega}\right\rangle\right|\right|$ , with  $\hat{\vec{D}}$  the electric dipole moment operator (both in [D] units) at R = 3.1806 a<sub>0</sub>, using the model MR<sup>+T</sup><sub>12</sub>-CISD(10)

- Large molecular electric dipole moment in  ${}^3\Delta_1$  science state
- $\approx 3\%$  non- $\Delta$  character of science state Transition to  ${}^{1}\Sigma_{0}^{+}$  borrows intensity via  ${}^{3}\Delta_{1} - {}^{1}\Pi_{1}$  and other second-order spin-orbit couplings

#### **Molecular Nuclear Magnetic Quadrupole Moment**

#### Results for $^{181}\text{TaN}$ , $\Omega=1$

Cutoff/CI Model	$E_{\rm eff} \left[ {{ m GV}\over{ m cm}}  ight]$	$A_{  }$ [MHz]	$W_{P,T}$ [kHz]	$W_M \; [\frac{10^{33} \text{Hz}}{e  \text{cm}^2}]$
vTZ-30a.u./MR $_{12}$ -CISD(10)	30.1	3104	27.4	0.633
vTZ-30a.u./MR $_{12}$ -CISDT(10)	29.7	3092	27.1	0.626
vTZ-30a.u./MR $_{12}$ -CISD(18)	33.9	3063	30.8	0.72
vTZ-30a.u./MR $^{+T}_{12}$ -CISD $(10)$	31.4	3067	28.7	0.645
vTZ-30a.u./MR $_{12}^{+T}$ -CISD(18)	36	3029	32.5	0.75
Skripnikov et al. <sup>40</sup>	34.9	-3132	31	1.08
Flambaum <i>et al.</i> <sup>41</sup>	25 (YbF)			$\approx 1$

 $\mu(^{181}\text{TaN}) = 2.35\mu_N \qquad I = \frac{7}{2}$ 

- EDM effective field (and  $W_{P,T}$ ) sufficiently large
- NMQM interaction constant  $W_M$  smaller than reference values

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup>N. S. Mosyagin, M. G. Kozlov, A. V. Titov, *J Phys B* **31** (1998) *L763* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>V. V. Flambaum, D. DeMille, M. G. Kozlov, *Phys Rev Lett* **113** (2014) *103003* 

#### Outlook

#### The induced fermion EDM

#### **Standard Model Picture**<sup>7</sup>

**BSM Picture** 



• Three-loop  $\mathcal{CP}$ -odd contributions zero in the absence of gluonic corrections<sup>8</sup>

 $d_e^{SM} \leq 10^{-38}\,e\,\,\mathrm{cm}$ 



• MSSM ("naïve SUSY") prediction<sup>9</sup>:  $d_e \leq 10^{-27} e \text{ cm}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>E.D. Commins, Adv At Mol Opt Phys **40** (1998) 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>M. Pospelov, I.B. Khriplovich, Sov J Nuc Phys **53** (1991) 638

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>J. Ellis, J.S. Lee, A. Pilaftsis, J High Energy Phys **10** (2008) 049